

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

Claims 1-14 (Cancelled).

15. (Currently Amended) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio, the process comprising the steps of:

(a) storing, by a computer, first objectively determinable characteristics of representative intellectual property portfolios and objectively determinable values corresponding to each of the representative intellectual property portfolios, the first objectively determinable characteristics and the objectively determinable values forming a baseline against which to assess the estimated value of the intellectual property portfolio;

(b) analyzing the intellectual property portfolio to determine second objectively determinable characteristics of the intellectual property portfolio to be estimated;

(c) deriving first information representing the second objectively determinable characteristics of the intellectual property portfolio to be estimated responsive to said analyzing step (b);

(d) retrieving second information representing the first objectively determinable characteristics and the objectively determinable values of the representative intellectual property portfolios; and

(e) comparing the first information received from said deriving step (c) to the second information received from said retrieving step (d) producing an estimated value of the intellectual property portfolio when the first information of the intellectual property portfolio

is statistically similar to the second information of one of the representative intellectual property portfolios.

16. A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 15, wherein the intellectual property portfolio comprises at least one of patented, trademarked, trade secreted and copyrighted intellectual property.

17. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 15, wherein at least one of a patent database, a trademark database, a copyright database, a technical literature database, a legal reporter database, a current events database and an intellectual property status database are utilized to determine the estimated value of the intellectual property portfolio.

18. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 15,

wherein the intellectual property portfolio comprises a patent portfolio including patents, and

wherein the first objectively determinable characteristics includes patent information derived from the patents in the patent portfolio comprising at least one of the following: number of claims, length of independent claims, number and dates of references cited, number of classes searched, legal status of the patents, number of years until each of the patents expire, group which examined each of the patents, domestic priority, and foreign priority.

19. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 18, wherein the patent information further includes frequency with which the patents have been cited as references for other patents.

20. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 15, further comprising the step of weighing each of the first and second objectively determinable characteristics according to predetermined weighing factors producing weighed first and second objectively determinable characteristics, and

comparing the weighed first and second objectively determinable characteristics to determine the statistical similarity between the weighed first and second objectively determinable characteristics.

21. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 15,

wherein the intellectual property portfolio includes issued patents, and at least one of trademarks and copyrights, and

wherein the first objectively determinable characteristics are derived by analyzing the issued patents, and the at least one of trademarks, trade secrets and copyrights.

22. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 15, wherein the estimated value of the intellectual property portfolio is derived substantially independent of accounting valuation techniques including cost, market and income approaches.

23. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 15, wherein the first information of the intellectual property portfolio is determined to be statistically similar to the second information of one of the representative intellectual property portfolios utilizing at least one of a curve fitting technique and a standard deviation technique.

24. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 15,

wherein the first objectively determinable characteristics include first valuation indicators,

wherein the first valuation indicators are assigned an importance factor based upon predetermined criteria, and

wherein the first valuation indicators are compared to the second objectively determinable characteristics and the estimated value of the intellectual property portfolio is determined responsive to the importance factor of each of the valuation indicators.

25. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 15, wherein the objectively determinable values of the representative intellectual property portfolios include objectively determinable monetary values.

26. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 25, wherein the objectively determinable monetary values of the representative intellectual property portfolios are determined by at least one of prior adjudication, prior license values, prior purchase values and an accountant

evaluation based upon generally acceptable accounting procedures (GAAP) of the representative intellectual property portfolios.

27. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 15, wherein the first objectively determinable characteristics include at least one of prior adjudication values, prior license values, and prior purchase values.

28. (Currently Amended) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio, the process comprising the steps of:

- (a) analyzing the intellectual property portfolio;
- (b) deriving first information responsive to said analyzing step (a) based upon the intellectual property portfolio;
- (c) retrieving, by a computer, empirical data relating to known intellectual property portfolios; and
- (d) comparing the first information derived in said deriving step (b) to the empirical data retrieved from said retrieving step (c) producing an estimated intellectual property worth indicator indicating the worth of the intellectual property portfolio.

29. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 28, wherein the intellectual property portfolio comprises at least one of patented, trademarked, trade secreted and copyrighted intellectual property.

30. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 28, wherein at least one of a patent

database, a trademark database, a copyright database, a legal reporter database, a technical literature database, a current events database and an intellectual property status database are utilized to determine the estimated intellectual property worth indicator of the intellectual property portfolio.

31. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 28,

wherein the intellectual property portfolio comprises a patent portfolio including patents, and

wherein the first information includes patent information derived from the patents in the patent portfolio comprising at least one of the following: number of claims, length of independent claims, number and dates of references cited, number of classes searched, legal status of the patents, number of years until each of the patents expire, group which examined each of the patents, domestic priority, and foreign priority.

32. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 31, wherein the patent information further includes frequency with which the patents have been cited as references for other patents.

33. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 28, further comprising the step of weighing each of the first information and the empirical data according to predetermined weighing factors producing weighed first information and weighed empirical data respectively, and

said comparing step (d) further comprises the step of comparing the weighed first information and the weighed empirical data to determine similarity therebetween to determine the estimated intellectual property worth indicator.

34. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 28,

wherein the intellectual property portfolio includes issued patents, and at least one of trademarks, trade secrets and copyrights, and

wherein the first information are derived by analyzing the issued patents, and the at least one of trademarks and copyrights.

35. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 28, wherein the estimated intellectual property worth indicator of the intellectual property portfolio is derived substantially independent of accounting valuation techniques including cost, market and income approaches.

36. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 28, wherein the first information of the intellectual property portfolio is determined to be statistically similar to the empirical data of the intellectual property portfolio utilizing at least one of a curve fitting technique and a standard deviation technique.

37. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 28,
wherein the first information includes first valuation indicators,

wherein the first valuation indicators are assigned an importance factor based upon predetermined criteria, and

wherein the first valuation indicators are compared to the empirical data and the estimated intellectual property worth indicator of the intellectual property portfolio is determined responsive to the importance factor of the first valuation indicators.

38. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 28, wherein the first information of the intellectual property portfolio includes an objectively determinable monetary value.

39. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 38, wherein the objectively determinable monetary value of the intellectual property portfolio is determined by at least one of prior adjudication, prior license values, prior purchase values and an accountant evaluation based upon generally acceptable accounting procedures (GAAP) of the intellectual property portfolio.

40. (Original) A computer assisted process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio according to claim 28, wherein the first information includes at least one of prior adjudication values, prior license values, and prior purchase values.

41. (Currently Amended) A ~~computer assisted~~ process for determining an estimated value of an intellectual property portfolio, the process comprising the steps of:

(a) analyzing the intellectual property portfolio ~~stored in an intellectual property database, and equivalents thereof;~~

(b) deriving first information responsive to said analyzing step (a) based upon the intellectual property portfolio, and equivalents thereof;

(c) retrieving empirical data relating to known intellectual property portfolios, and equivalents thereof; and

(d) comparing the first information derived in said deriving step (b) to the empirical data retrieved from said retrieving step (c) producing an intellectual property worth indicator indicating the worth of the intellectual property portfolio, and equivalents thereof,

wherein the intellectual property ~~database~~ includes information obtained from at least one of a patent ~~database~~, a trademark ~~database~~, a technical literature ~~database~~, a copyright ~~database~~, a legal reporter ~~database~~ information, a current events ~~database~~ and an intellectual property status information ~~database~~.

42. (Currently Amended) A computer assisted process for determining at least one of a financial quality and financial quantity of an intellectual property portfolio, the process comprising the steps of:

(a) analyzing the intellectual property portfolio stored in an intellectual property database;

(b) deriving information responsive to said analyzing step (a) based upon the intellectual property portfolio;

(c) retrieving, by a computer, quality data relating to known intellectual property portfolios; and

(d) comparing the information derived in said deriving step (b) to the quality data retrieved from said retrieving step (c) to determine an intellectual property factor indicating the at least one of the financial quality and the financial quantity of the intellectual property portfolio.

43. (Previously Presented) A computer assisted process according to claim 42, wherein the intellectual property portfolio comprises at least one of patented, trademarked, trade secreted and copyrighted intellectual property.

44. (Previously Presented) A computer assisted process according to claim 42, wherein at least one of a patent database, a trademark database, a copyright database, a legal reporter database, a technical literature database, a current events database and an intellectual property status database are utilized to determine the the at least one of the financial quality and the financial quantity of the intellectual property portfolio.

45. (Previously Presented) A computer assisted process according to claim 42, wherein the intellectual property portfolio comprises a patent portfolio including patents, and

wherein the information includes patent information derived from the patents in the patent portfolio comprising at least one of the following: number of claims, length of independent claims, number and dates of references cited, number of classes searched, legal status of the patents, number of years until each of the patents expire, group which examined each of the patents, domestic priority, and foreign priority.

46. (Previously Presented) A computer assisted process according to claim 45, wherein the patent information further includes frequency with which the patents have been cited as references for other patents.

47. (Previously Presented) A computer assisted process according to claim 42, further comprising the step of weighing each of the information and the quality data

according to predetermined weighing factors producing weighed information and weighed empirical data respectively, and

said comparing step (d) further comprises the step of comparing the weighed information and the weighed empirical data to determine similarity therebetween to determine the estimated intellectual property worth indicator.

48. (Previously Presented) A computer assisted process according to claim 42, wherein the intellectual property portfolio includes issued patents, and at least one of trademarks, trade secrets and copyrights, and

wherein the information are derived by analyzing the issued patents, and the at least one of trademarks and copyrights.

49. (Previously Presented) A computer assisted process according to claim 42, wherein the at least one of the financial quality and the financial quantity of the intellectual property portfolio is derived substantially independent of accounting valuation techniques including cost, market and income approaches.

50. (Previously Presented) A computer assisted process according to claim 42, wherein the information of the intellectual property portfolio is determined to be statistically similar to the quality data of the intellectual property portfolio utilizing at least one of a curve fitting technique and a standard deviation technique.

51. (Currently Amended) A computer assisted process according to claim 42, wherein the information includes valuation indicators,

wherein the valuation indicators are assigned an importance factor based upon predetermined criteria and equivalents thereof, and

wherein the valuation indicators are compared to the quality data and the at least one of the financial quality and the financial quantity of the intellectual property portfolio is determined responsive to the importance factor of the valuation indicators.

52. (Previously Presented) A computer assisted process according to claim 42, wherein the information of the intellectual property portfolio includes an objectively determinable monetary value.

53. (Previously Presented) A computer assisted process according to claim 52, wherein the objectively determinable monetary value of the intellectual property portfolio is determined by at least one of prior adjudication, prior license values, prior purchase values and an accountant evaluation based upon generally acceptable accounting procedures (GAAP) of the intellectual property portfolio.

54. (Previously Presented) A computer assisted process according to claim 42, wherein the information includes at least one of prior adjudication values, prior license values, and prior purchase values.

55. (Currently Amended) A computer assisted process for determining at least one of an estimated quality and quantity of an intellectual property portfolio, the process comprising the steps of:

(a) analyzing the intellectual property portfolio stored in an intellectual property database;

(b) deriving information responsive to said analyzing step (a) based upon the intellectual property portfolio;

(c) retrieving, by a computer, quality data relating to known intellectual property portfolios, and equivalents thereof; and

(d) comparing the information derived in said deriving step (b) to the quality data retrieved from said retrieving step (c) producing an intellectual property quality indicator indicating the at least one of the estimated quality and quantity of the intellectual property portfolio,

wherein the intellectual property database includes at least one of a patent database, a trademark database, a technical literature database, a copyright database, a legal reporter database, a current events database and an intellectual property status database, and equivalents thereof.